UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/579,318	05/16/2006	Tatsurou Iwasaki	2950-061389	1885
	7590 08/07/200 AW FIRM, P.C.	9	EXAMINER SINGH SUNIL	
700 KOPPERS	BUILDING		SINGH, SUNIL	
436 SEVENTH PITTSBURGH.	-		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
,			3672	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/07/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers		10/579,318	IWASAKI ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Sunil Singh	3672				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing datent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS re, cause the application to become ABAND	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communic ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 I	May 2009					
-	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)	-						
٠,١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.						
·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.						
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
•	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
, _	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		-				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureasee the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applority documents have been recaule (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage	•			
2) Notice (3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Ma	nary (PTO-413) ail Date nal Patent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/579,318 Page 2

Art Unit: 3672

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Claim 1 calls for the projecting part and the bearing-plate holding part "having a unitary construction"; however, the originally filed disclosure does not have any basis for such language and therefore, such language constitutes new matter.
- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 calls for the projecting part and the bearing-plate holding part "having a unitary construction"; however, it is unclear what the metes and bounds are for the term "unitary".

Application/Control Number: 10/579,318

Art Unit: 3672

Insofar the claims are understood, the following rejection(s) apply:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Page 3

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Skogberg et al. or Skogberg et al. (US 4509889, 4634317) in view of either Hutchins (US 6428243) or Herron (US 4511289)

Skogberg et al. '899, '317 both teach steel pipe rockbolt, comprising a rockbolt main body (11) and a pressurized-fluid-introducing sleeve fixed by welding (see col. 3 lines 3-4, col. 3 lines 5-6) to the rockbolt main body at an end for introduction of a pressurized fluid, wherein the rockbolt main body is configured to hydraulically expand upon the introduction of the pressurized fluid (see abstract, abstract), the pressurized-fluid-introducing sleeve comprising a projecting part (42,42) with an outer diameter larger than a diameter of an aperture of a bearing plate (41,41), and a bearing-plate-holding part (19,19) with an outer diameter smaller than the diameter of the aperture of the bearing plate, whereby the bearing plate is held in contact with a step between the projecting part and the bearing-plate-holding part, the projecting part and the bearing-plate-holding part having a unitary construction, whereby the bearing plate locates on an edge of a rockbolt-setting hole drilled in a bedrock or ground, and the bearing-plate-holding part extends through the aperture of the bearing plate into the rockbolt-setting

Art Unit: 3672

hole. Skogberg et al. '899, '317 both disclose the invention substantially as claimed. However, they both lack a pressurized fluid introducing hole on the projecting part. Hutchins and Herron both teach a pressurized fluid introducing hole (see Fig. 2, 18) on a projection part. It would have been considered obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify either Skogberg et al. '899, '317 to include a pressurized fluid introducing hole on the projection part as taught by either Hutchins or Herron in order to provide additional means for injecting fluid to expand the rockbolt.

Re claim 3, it would have been considered obvious to have the rockbolt main body formed from a steel pipe coated with a Zn, Zn-AI or Zn- AI-Mg plating layer since such a modification provides adequate strength and prevent corrosion.

Re claims 4-6, the rockbolt main body has a continuous outer surface defining a hollow cavity that is adapted to hydraulically expand upon the introduction of the pressurized fluid (see Fig. 3, 3).

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 5/26/09 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the Skogberg references do not teach a projecting part and the bearing-plate-holding part having a unitary construction. The examiner disagrees. In as much applicant's members (12) and (11) are unitary so are members (42) and (19) of the Skogberg references. As pointed out in applicant's specification page 6, member (11) and (12) are individually formed from two pipes; therefore, if this qualifies as unitary construction then so is individually formed members (19) and (42) of the Skogberg references.

Application/Control Number: 10/579,318 Page 5

Art Unit: 3672

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sunil Singh whose telephone number is (571) 272-7051. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 10:30 AM - 7:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bagnell can be reached on (571) 272-6999. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/579,318

Art Unit: 3672

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Sunil Singh/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3672 Sunil Singh Primary Examiner Art Unit 3672 Page 6

SS

8/3/09